CONFIDENTIAL: NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION

WELLINGTON METRO RAIL NETWORK UPDATE

SERVICE LEVEL IMPACTS

6 JUNE 2024 - COUNCIL WORKSHOP

David Mawson – Manager, Rail Network Delivery, Assets & Infrastructure Fiona Abbott – Senior Manager Assets & Infrastructure Samantha Gain – Group Manager, Metlink





Purpose

To provide Councillors with an overview of indicative service-level, economic and social impacts caused by rail network challenges

AGENDA

- 1. Wellington Metro Rail Network:
 - Overview (service, roles, funding arrangements)
 - Challenges how did we get here?
- 2. Indicative service impacts: 3 scenarios
 - Scenario 1: 100% backlog funding
 - Scenario 2: 50% backlog funding
 - Scenario 3: 0% backlog funding
- 3. Implications
- 4. **Next steps** where to from here?

Wellington Metro Rail Network Overview



374

AVERAGE WEEKDAY SERVICES 11.4m

RAIL PASSENGER BOARDINGS IN 2023 3.6m

SCHEDULED SERVICE KM TRAVELLED p.a.

\$40m

RAIL REVENUE p.a.

374

NUMBER OF RAIL STAFF WHICH
INCLUDES 229
FRONT LINE
STAFF

Metlink Rail network has a total of 159.9km of track

- Johnsonville (Johnsonville Line) = 10.5 km
- Waikanae (Kāpiti Line) = 55.4 km
- Melling (Melling Line) = 13.5 km
 - Upper Hutt (Hutt Valley Line) = 32.4 km
 - Masterton (Wairarapa Line) = 91.0 km

Journeys into Wellington CBD

- Approx 20,000 peak usage per day (most to Wgtn CBD)
- Approx. 40% of Wellington region jobs are in Wgtn CBD
- Rail accounts for 22% of all peak person trips into Wellington CBD



Who's who?

The key organisations involved in the governance, operation and monitoring of the Wellington Metropolitan Rail Network are:



Procures metro rail passenger services (via Metlink brand), has strategic oversight for the Wellington public transport network and owns the fleet of commuter passenger trains on the Network



State-owned enterprise, which owns and maintains the rail network (on behalf of the Crown) and operates freight and long-distance passenger services



Provides policy oversight for the rail sector and advises the Minister and Cabinet on key funding and investment decisions



Crown entity, which regulates rail safety and invests in the land transport system



Contracted by Greater Wellington to operate metropolitan passenger rail services and maintain Metlink's rolling stock (through a sub-contract with Hyundai Rotem).

Who funds what?

Metropolitan Rail Operating Model 2009 (MROM)

sets out funding arrangements as follows:

- Crown funding (at a funding assistance rate (FAR) of 100%) for the costs to bring the rail network up to a 'steady state'
 network.
- Once it is up to a 'steady state', costs to maintain the network are borne by GW which will be funded via NZTA at 51%
 FAR. The costs to maintain the network are agreed and funded through a contract with KiwiRail (for GW the Wellington Network Agreement).

Wellington Network Agreement 2013 (WNA)

secures GW's access to the KiwiRail network;

- KiwiRail, provides and manages the Access Rights and Network Services; these are the day-to-day operations of the network and asset renewals.
- Costs are shared between GW and KiwiRail based on overall use. (GW receives 51% FAR from NZTA)
- GW carries the largest share of the cost of the network (between 75 and 80% of the maintenance and 'current renewals'), and a share of the Network Services, Insurance, Network Control Services (train control), and KiwiRail Overhead costs.

Wellington Metropolitan Upgrade Programme 2017 (WMUP)

To help bring the WMRN up to 'steady state' a

number of complex infrastructure enhancements and 'deferred renewals' were identified.

- 100% Crown funded
- However, WMUP doesn't cover all 'deferred renewals' (those required to achieve 'steady state')

Funding overview

Funding overview													
	Wellington Rail Programme Business (WLG Strategic Rail Plan)												
Plan	Wellington Network Management Plan (NMP) FY25 - FY34 Rail Network Investment										Region Transpo		
Contract		Wellington N			etwork Agreement (WNA)			Programme (RNIP)	NZ Upgrade Programme		LNIRIM (Governance)		
Fund	Waka Kotahi (51%)			National Land Transport Fund (NLTF)				(NZUP)		NLTF - GWRC Horizons - Crown			
Conduit	uit RNIP GWR		RC (49%) F		RNIP GWRL pass-		hought payment: Transitional rail		RNIP			RNIP	GWRC
Programme		AU tenance	Renewals	Services	Backlog Renewals	WMUP III	WMUP IV	WMUP Subs	WMUP V	WMUP VI a	WMUP VI b	LNII	RIM
Description	Mg	ork Mtce, t and ntrol	Steady Rene	/ State ewals	Backlog Renewals	Catch-Up track and Civil Renewals	Capacity and Resilience Upgrades	Capacity and Resilience Upgrades	New Signalling and Automatic Train Protection (ETCS)	Wellington Station Approaches'	Regional Infrastructure Enhancements	New Region	
Scope	Inspect activitie Networ	nance & ion es. k Control erations ement ads, ce and	Asset renewa replaced at the optimised tin continue a 'si state' network	he ne to teady	All Network asset renewals that have fallen into backlog.	Wairarapa Line formation, track and drainage upgrades. Remutaka & Tawa Tunnel track upgrades. Resleepering 12 short tunnels. Slope stabilisation. Bridge replacements.	Trentham to Upper Hutt double tracking (T2UH) Porirua Area Capacity Enhancement (PACE)	New or Upgrades to Wellington traction substations. Required to operate a RS1 LNIRIM timetable. (Note: Originally in WMUP IV Programme)	New signalling system for Wellington Metro area. European Train Protection System (ETCS) enabled.	Track and signalling upgrades entering Wellington Station. Scope include, realigned crossovers and signals, Combined services route.	New signalling system for the Wairarapa Line. (Featherston to Masterton) Additional passing loop at Woodside Level Crossing upgrades between Upper Hutt and Masterton Waingawa depot upgraded	Purchase ne passenger replacing th carriages on Wairarapa a Manawatu I Station and upgrades Depot and Maintenand	ollingstock, e exiting i the ind ines. track
Cost	?	\$471m	\$124m	\$107m	\$494m	\$174m	\$145m	\$131m	\$600-700m	\$114m	\$130m	\$172m	\$702m
Timeline	Co	ntinuous	(10-year tot	als shown)	FY25 - 34	FY19 - 26	FY20 - 24	FY24 - 27 (FY31)	FY21 - 31	FY24 - 25	FY23 - 26	FY24	- 29
Туре	Maintenance Renev		wal		Catch - Up Renewal			Improvements					
Delivery	KR Wellington Metro				KR Capital Projects and Asset Development (CPAD) LNIRII							LNIRIM	

Challenges: How did we get here?

Historically there has been an under-investment in the Rail Network

- MROM 'Steady State' has not been achieved (or funded)
- GW funding under WNA to KiwiRail has been used for 'deferred renewals' and insurance not current renewals
- KiwiRail has requested more funding from GW under WNA to cover a \$39m shortfall in both 22/23 & 23/24. KiwiRail has advised that;
 - if funding is not received then KR won't be able to do all renewals work required
 - it has unsuccessfully sought funding from MOT/NZTA
 - if renewals work is not done, then TSRs and/or service reductions will be required for safety
- KiwiRail has been prioritising OPEX over CAPEX, e.g. insurance













Budget 2024 rail network funding

Metropolitan Rail Renewals in Auckland and Wellington

This initiative provides funding to address backlog renewals to avoid critical network failure risk in Auckland and Wellington metro areas. This initiative also provides funding to address shortfalls in funding annual maintenance and renewals in Auckland and Wellington (local government portion), enabling work to be delivered to lift service reliability while the Minister of Transport's Metro Rail Operating Model review addresses respective council/Crown funding responsibilities and definitions.

Vote	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	Operating Total	Capital Total
Transport	-	107.700	-	-	-	107.700	-

Budget 2024 provides funding for:

- deferred backlog renewals for 1 year only
- bridging the gap between KiwiRail request for funding and GW budget (OPEX only)

Speed restrictions & Scenario development

Speed restrictions are used to ensure the safety of passenger and freight services on parts of the network where renewals are required or overdue

- Greater Wellington received from KiwiRail a high-level forecast of temporary speed restrictions (TSRs) that will be required on the Wellington Metropolitan Rail Network over the coming years (these are in addition to current TSRs).
- Speed restrictions are needed until sufficient funding is found and renewal work undertaken on the rail network.

We have looked at **3 potential scenarios** that could play out, based on req. work programme and assoc. funding over a 10-year period:

Scenario 1: 100% backlog and WNA funding (Y1 in Budget 24)

Scenario 2: 50% backlog and WNA funding

Scenario 3: 0% backlog and WNA funding (Current 23/24)



Impacts of a reduced rail network on the Region

ROAD CONGESTION

The highway network does not have the capacity to accommodate more traffic at peak times.

Rail disruption would likely to result in a shift to private car use, which would:

- Critically impact peak congestion
- Increase travel times on the road network
- extend the duration of the peak
- impact our bus punctuality and reliability.

This would result in:

- additional costs for business that would likely be passed onto consumers
- negative impact on the Wellington region's attractiveness for business.

Bus replacement is not a viable option:

- Would require at least 80 100 single deck buses each day
- Buses subject to peak traffic congestion no bus lanes on SH1 & SH2.

ECONOMIC

Reduced access to Wellington CBD and increased congestion would have a negative impact on productivity - increasing costs for businesses and individuals and decreasing the attractiveness of the Wellington region as a place to live, work and invest in

Relative to other areas within New Zealand, the competitiveness of the Wellington Region would decline.

Reduced rail frequencies would also encourage more working from home – while some workers in Wellington CBD have the ability to work from home, many do not; this will affect productivity and would negatively affect businesses in Wellington CBD

Rail services, particularly increasing frequency and capacity, are widely used by TAs (e.g. HCC Spatial Plan) as a justification/rationale for housing intensification and economic development in Hutt Valley and along Kāpiti Line

SOCIAL/ENVIRONME NT

Inability for public transport reliant commuters to get to work and education (greatest impact on people who are unable to afford private transport)

Reduced access to critical & strategic locations, Including:

- Medical care for the transport dependent
- Secondary / tertiary education
- To/from Airport
- To/from major events

Downstream impacts on commercial activity (e.g. hospitality and retail, which depend on revenue from workers)

Downstream social impacts to productivity Increased environmental degradation due to increased private vehicle use

- Climate change
- Health (NOX and Black Carbon pollutants)

Impacts of a reduced rail network on customers

SCENARIO 1 (100%)

Customers will feel an impact while work is being carried out, but this will be short term until the work is carried out.
Once complete, we can resume service.

Capacity constraints will result in customers closer to Wellington Station being left behind.

SCENARIO 2 (50%)

Track assets only

Customers will feel an impact while work is being carried out, over a longer period of time.

Capacity issues will mean that customers closer to Wellington Station will be left behind.

Impact will get incrementally worse and will not recover.

SCENARIO 3 (0%)

Track assets only

Customers will feel an impact while work is being carried out, for a long period of time.

Capacity issues will mean that customers closer to Wellington Station will be left behind, increasing as services are reduced.

Impact will get worse steadily over a shorter period of time and will not recover.

Closure of some lines is guaranteed.

We do not have the ability to replace the rail network with buses.

Service Impact Summary

	LINE	Melling	Johnsonville	Kapiti (NIMT)	Hutt Valley / Wairarapa	
0	PEAK SERVICES	5 of 8 AM peak services cancelled	8 of 14 AM peak services cancelled	5 of 15 AM peak services cancelled	2 of 10 AM peak services cancelled	
SCENARIO 1	DELAYS	From 18 mins to 19 mins Recovers by FY28/29	From 23 mins to 27 mins Recovers after FY26/27	From 60 mins to 76 mins Recovers after FY 26/27	From 45 mins to 60 mins / From 110 mins to 126 mins Recovers by FY28/29	
S	LINE CLOSURE?	No	No	No	No	
SCENARIO 2	PEAK SERVICES	5 of 8 AM peak services cancelled Never recover	8 of 14 AM peak services cancelled Never recover	5 of 15 AM peak services cancelled Possible recovery in 2031	2 of 10 AM peak services cancelled Unlikely to recover before 2035	
	DELAYS	From 18 mins to 19 mins	From 23 mins to 29 mins	From 60 mins to 76 mins	From 45 mins to 63 mins / From 110 mins to 131 mins	
	LINE CLOSURE?	Yes (Note: won't reopen post RiverLink)	Potential (within 10 years) Yes (after 10 years)	No	Partial closure between Woburn - Ava Stations (Note: Ava Bridge tracks and sleepers req replacement and are not funded in this scenario)	
SCENARIO 3	PEAK SERVICES	5 of 8 AM peak services cancelled - Never recover	8 of 14 AM peak services cancelled Never recover	5 of 15 AM peak services cancelled Possible recovery in 2031	2 of 10 AM peak services cancelled Never recover	
	DELAYS	From 18 mins to 19 mins	From 23 mins to 29 mins	From 60 mins to 77 mins	From 45 mins to 64 mins / From 110 mins to 131 mins	
	LINE CLOSURE?	Yes (Note: won't reopen post RiverLink)	Yes (in FY 26 to await renewals)	No (Single line operation as required)	Partial closure between Woburn - Ava Stations (Single line operation as required)	

Next Steps / Actions

RESPONDING TO BUDGET 2024

- MROM progress needs active management and political dialogue
- Minister needs to hear and understand the Local Government position
 - How do we get heard in a credible way?
- Insurance is the difference between affordability and unaffordability – Do we need to be stronger on this point?
- How can we leverage our relationship with AT?
- GW partnership with KiwiRail to develop programme of works for the next 12 months
- We still need to plan for reduced services

ADDITIONALLY, TO KNOW / ACTION:

- Quantify full economic impacts of service reduction on Wellington (value of rail, value of time, economic productivity etc)
- Final GPS funding (Will receive now - Sep 2024)
- RNIP clarification of funding from KiwiRail (as a result of Budget 2024)
- Develop Comms Plan
- Report to Council
- Once funding levels determined develop service timetables (6-month lead time)

Conclusion

100% backlog funding (scenario 1) is the only outcome which will ensure that the rail network is preserved for the future

Partial or no backlog funding would likely result in further decline of the rail network and force the transition of rail passengers onto other modes.